HOUSING TASK FORCE RECOMMENDATION CRITERIA MATRIX

TIMEFRAME					
Immediate	Short Term	Long Term			
0-2 years	2-5 years	5+ years			

IMPACT						
Affordable Units	Minimal Increase in Affordable Units	No Increase in Affordable Units				
		•				

FEASIBILITY OF IMPLEMENTATION					
Very Feasible Feasible Not So Feasible					
		•			

COST FUNDING					
Available	Possible	Not Possible			

RESOURCES							
Available	Available Possible Not Possible						
		•					

COMMUNITY						
Acceptance Unknown Rejection						

Consensus on each recommendation, per the established criteria, was determined as follows:

RECOMMENDATION	Timeframe	Impact	Feasibility	Cost	Resources	Community	
BONDING & FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS							
Fund needs assessment							
Administer & promote other government funding							
Private employers & major community employers							
Amend City Charter for Workforce Housing (citizen vote)							
	LAND SUBCO	MMITTEE R	ECOMMENDA	TIONS			
Advocate & Identify land exchange and use of percentage of State Trust Lands for Workforce Housing							
Assure State lands include workforce housing and owner-occupied units.			•	•			
Identify USFS sites for potential development.					•		
Undertake neighborhood planning and identify infill and redevelopment needs.							
Identify potential annexation areas.							
Identify and redevelop underutilized sites							
Identify City-owned parcels for immediate development of workforce housing – Explore use of City & other publicly owned land for workforce housing & mixed-use projects.							
Revise Regional Plan to emphasize workforce housing as a guiding principle.	•		•		•	•	

RECOMMENDATION	Timeframe	Impact	Feasibility	Cost	Resources	Community
LDC & ENGINEERING SUBCOMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS						
Revise Land Development Code and emphasize workforce housing.	•					
Expand and improve existing infrastructure for infill projects.				•	•	
Stormwater: Change threshold for requiring detention facilities.						
Exclude resources removed for required facilities.						
Overlapping resource protection areas.						
Use of driveways						
Promote installation of curb cuts with initial subdivision construction.						
Driveway standards for multi- family units.						
Establish a substantial conformance process.						
Alternative yards for new single-family and duplex developments.						
Setbacks for: Detached garage & duplexes Granny units over detached Garages						
Granny Units/Provide tools for mixed-use development.						
Research other jurisdictions						
Develop Standards for Multi- Family Development						
Tandem Parking Permitted & Parking Requirements for multi-family buildings						
Change subdivision guidelines to include incentives for developers			•			•

RECOMMENDATION	Timeframe	Impact	Feasibility	Cost	Resources	Community
Provide tools for mixed-use development & Mixed-use development						